Preserved

App. F. IX-4

193 5
(Mysore)

File No. 135 - 35
Serial Nos. 1 - 14

Previous References
Mys 38 - 25 - 1 - 6
" 367 - 27 1 - 2
" 1159 - 28 1 - 4
~~*Letter References.*~~ 38 - 33 1 - 5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 4.

Part B for 1937

SUBJECT.

Regarding Nethini allowance presented to benefit of Sri Anjaneya Swami in Benne gavindappa at Basavanpudi

To. The Hon'ble Court
no. 1065 25 - 7 - 37

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—2-8-36.

8/11.

states that Mr B. G. Subba Rao may be permitted to employ some other competent with the approval of the Local Mysore Officers and the nethini's allowance continued to the institution as before temple being subsequently taken over by Govt

Re: Rebate allowance granted for the benefit of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple in Bentalgorad Chikpet Chattram

General and Revenue Secretariat — Muz File No. 135 of 1935. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
1	Letter no P.C. 457/34-35 dt 1.11.1935 from the Mazra Commissioner recommending grant of the rebate allowance of Rs 98/12/- a endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple in Beene Govindaiah's Chattram Basavanpur Extension Bangalore city for the benefit of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple near the Ophthalmic Hospital Bangalore city.
	Petition dt 6-11-1935 from B.G. Subba Rao Son of Beene Govindaiah 57. Chickpet Bangalore city stating that the cash grant endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple in the Chattram founded by his father in Basavanpur Extension Bangalore city has been temporarily suspended and praying for an opportunity being given to make his submissions before final orders are passed —
	S. No 54 (dry) 1a 30g. assessed at Rs 2/8 — Todi Rent — Valagerahalli Kempi 4 endowed for the Near Ophthalmic city — The

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03591—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

File No. 135 of 1934. General and Revenue Secretariat

The Sec of the Sangha for the improvement of the Saivite temple says in para 8 that he has enclosed a Copy of the rules he framed for the improvement of the Instn. It is not received here. No mention is made of the contributions made by the devotees for the period of the temple & whether it has any S.B. deposits & whether any Golaka is kept & so when the receipts & expts annually are audited by orders of the Govt or on P. W. D. ?

Please look up any papers in the Secl both & note".

Kind regd is invited to Sl no 13. of the Statement issued both G.O. no 566-75 dy 13-10-34 - A Rehmi allowance Mug 306-33-2 of Rs 9 8/12½ granted for the benefit of Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple in Benne Gorindahalli Challakere at Basavanapura has been continued as there is no other source of income to the Instn - It is stated in para 4 of the P. W. D I that this allowance has been withheld as the heirs in P. W. D II

Serial No.

has been stating that it is a private Instn & is not agreeable to abide by the Departmental Rules — In this Connection it is respectfully submitted that the Instn is a Trust as it has also already been endowed with the above Land & the heirs Cannot claim it as his private property. Further Nothing is said of the Chattram it also built by him & from whose the temple is situated — whereas the land as above the Chattram is Given by late Mr Benegalia Narasa is a free gift &, by the City Municipality is a totally the known from the Mr. Further by taking away the pr temple & transferring it to the Instn as now proposed by the Mr, the heirs Sabha Rao will be put to the Instn little Circumstances

A Records as above

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7 35

Re Inamis allowance

File No. 135 of 1935 General and Revenue Secretariat

[illegible]

5) [illegible]
4/12/35

Gen: Secy)

GENERAL SECRETARY
4 DEC. 1935
117

6) Where is the order withholding the grant to the Benne. Govindappa's Chatra Temple?

RW
7/12
5/12

This Govt. knows where & under whose order the grant to the Temple at Gokarn has been withheld. The M.C. in para 4 of P.N. II says that the Inamis allowance of Rs — has been withheld under the circumstances set forth therein. As the information called for in para 6 of Govt's note is not readily available from papers now here it has been suggested to call for the entire records — (Vide the note on page 3) —

Respectfully submitted for favour of Govt's

[illegible]
5/12/35

GENERAL SECRETARY
5 DEC. 1935
194

Gen: Secy) Please see the note of RW
9/12
[illegible]
9/12

Serial No.

D

No. 785 of 12. 12. 35 to the Inspection Commissioner calling for records Specified therein.

Letter no P.C. 457 of 18. 12. 1935 from the Inspection Commissioners (34-35) forwarding records called for—

x C. 1v In a pet. of 7. x 10. 1921 Hegade Gowda late Mr Benne Gowdappa the former of the Chattram & the temple has said that he has dedicated lots of these to the public & ch is on his representations the rebate allowance was sanctioned. Vide m.c's order no 15.24/22-23 of 17. 2. 1925

x D 13 The Bangalore city Municipality has granted the Site for the Construction of the above said Institutions Subject to the following conditions

1) that the building when constructed shall be used solely for Charitable purposes.

2) that any income such as rents etc realised therefrom shall be utilised for maintaining the Chattram in an Efficient condition

3) the building should be constructed at his cost within one year—

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03591—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

File No. 135 of 1935 | General and Revenue Secretariat

(Vide Mal Cum's letter No To 4115/ dy 8/6/32 D.
addressed to the M.C)

(a) Inter for purpose of the Chairman Certain vessels appear to have been given by the mag. depth; which in his letter to P.W.D II has complained vide M.C. (Vide his letter dy 20.6.32) that some of the vessels are leaky & that only good ones are being given to the travellers for their use. In view of these wherein the petitioner's contention that the temple is a private one is tenable is a matter for enquiry as more especially the temple was all along in enjoyment of some grant viz the rehmi allowance — Rs 98/12 p.a. (Vide D.C's report dy 20.9.32)

(b) The records disclose that the whole trouble has arisen in connection with an archaic post termed temple. The reasons assigned by the petr in P.W.D appear well founded — one Hanumantha Rao was removed from the archaic place on account of his extreme old age & also on account of the loss of the jewels (Vide dy 25-) — Shri Srinivasa Murthy

Serial No.

this appointment as usual has been approved by the Dept is in the eye of the fundamental rule but for the following reasons :—

1) He is an Govt Servant on a salary of Rs 60/— p.m. in the Water Works Dept, has signed the temporary work manual at 10 hrs & cannot turn up for work till again 9 pm every night

2) He is not acquainted with the Mantras etc necessary for an archak—

16) Shanbag from the objection of the kind the petn in P.W.D has gone the length of saying in his petn addressed to me M.C. that Gokulam Chatram is a private Institution & Govt has nothing to do with its management (Vide petn dt 1.2.34)

17) The income of the Institution consists of rents collected every month, fees levied for the Shaperyal as mamool & Upamanyam occasions, & religious allowances - Whether the latter has been since the

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7 35

accounts income & expenditure has not been investigated by any of the local officers though the S.D.O in his report of 1-2-35 has stated that he has given a statement to the effect that he will maintain accounts properly & that he will manage the Chattram & the Sastri function properly there.

(8) Kind regd is invited to the alternative views of the undg q. 3.35 viz that the petr should either choose to comply with the departmental orders & rules or forego the grant sanctioned for the support of the Instn whereas the withdrawal of the grant would not further encourage the petr to exercise proprietary rights over the Instn is a matter for consideration

(9) If it is accepted it is a mosq Instn it is necessary that the petr should be made to understand that he should comply with departmental rules etc. or if it is considered that the Instn is other than a mosq one, the petr will under

Serial No.

Secn 22 of the Regn be treated as a man in charge of the Instn subject to his compliance with what is laid down in that Secn & matters in Secn 23 of the Stamp Regn. Anyway he cannot hold it is a private Instn.

2) The M.C. has indicated the appointment of a Committee of Dharmadarsis with the Pesv as Convenor for supervising the affairs of the Centre. This does not right appear to have been understood by the Pesv & in his statement before the Amildar on 12/8/35 he says that he is not for the appointment of Dharmadarsis for managing the affairs of the Chatram. This variation does not appear to have been noticed by any of the Officers in the Board. The order of withdrawing the allowance was suggested at the first instance by the Amildar & has been upheld by the Officers above including the M.C. Subs vide Secy para 6 supra.

Gs 6/9/36

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

File No. 135 of 1935. | General and Revenue Secretariat

The Inspr. Comr. has ordered in his letter No. R.C. 120/32-33 dated 12th March 1935 that the Inspr. grant (Nehru allowance) of Rs 8-3-8 per mensem granted to Gurunappa's Chattram should be stopped as Mr. B. G. Subba Rao, the manager of the Chattram has refused to comply with & depart with orders and rules, as explained in the Inspr. Comr.'s letters No. R.C. 120/32-33 dated 29th March 1933 and No. R.C. 120/32-33 dated 12th March 1935. The Nehru allowance is granted to the Sri Anjaneya temple which is located in Vasavi Road, Chowdry. The site on which the Chattram was is built was granted subject to the condition that the Chattram should be used solely for charitable purposes and that its income should be used for maintaining it in an efficient condition. (Vide municipal Commissioner's letter No. To 4115 dated 8th June 1932 and the Inspr. Comr's letter of 29th March 1933). But it reported that the major portion of the Chattram is occupied by Mr. Subba Rao

Serial No.

R

and that the vessels of ~~Bidda~~ Bidadi chattram granted by Govt. to Banne Govindappa's chattram are not given to the public for their use. (Please flag Q). But the mutwalli Comm does not seem to have obtained the sanction of Govt. for stopping the Nehmi grant sanctioned for the temple in Banne Govindappa's chattram in G.O. No. 566-75 dated 11th March 1934. Dept. 306-33-2 He had however recommended now that the Nehmi allowance sanctioned to this temple may be transferred to the Anjaneya-swami temple near the Minto Ophthalmic ~~Hospital~~, Bangalore City. Submitted with reference to the General Secretary's orders contained in para 6 of the notes.

9.1.36.

2) The Gen Secy's query at para 6 is not answered. A definite reply to it is re

3) awaiting for additional records

4) the general secretary wants the order withholding the grant

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7 35

(i.e. Nehru allowance) granted to Revenue Govindappa's chattram. (Vide para 6 of the notes). The grant was sanctioned by Govt. (vide ~~G.O.~~ appendix A1 to G.O. No. 566-75 Mung. 306-33-2 dated 11th Oct. 1934). The Govt. have not cancelled the grant. The grant is being withheld pending orders of Govt. in the matter. The Mungrai Comm.'s ~~letter~~ order No. R.C. 120/32-33 dated 12th March 1935 withholding the grant has to be sanctioned by Govt. The Mungrai Comm. instead of making a proposal to Govt. to whom appeals has proposed the transfer of the grant to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple near the Minto Opthalmic Hospital, Bangalore City, as explained already in para 21 of the notes. The Mungrai Comm. will however be requested to report the authority under which the grant has been withheld as reported by the Dy. Comm., Bangalore Dt., in his letter No. C.3.-601/35-36 dated 20th Sept. 1935, which is evidently the result of the ~~was~~ Mungrai Comm.'s orders contained in his letter No. R.C. 120/32-33 dated 12th March 1935.

20/1 25) From the records it looks as though

Serial No.

The grant has been withheld from Septr 1931 by some authority [illegible]. presumably the D.C. (Vide Hays T & R).

The recommendation of the D.C. now is two fold :-

(1) to stop the grant to the Sri Anjaneya Swami temple in Bennegrondi till the Chatram and

(2) to transfer the same to the Sri Anjaneya temple near Mercara Hospital

2) Before the 2nd recommendation could be considered, the first has to be disposed of. In this connection Mr. B. G. Subba Rao, Son of late Mr. Benne Gowda Esqr has submitted his Rep. dt 6.11.35 (Pur II). Therein he states that two questions are involved viz

(1) Re the grant to the temple and

(2) Re the Management of the Chatram

and that daily & periodical Services are rendered in the temple regularly out of his private resources, and that the entire premises of the Chatram are kept clean & made available to bona fide public and that the Satisfactory Conduct of Services are amply testified to by the S.D.O. who inspected the Spot & made

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0J594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

enquiry.

It is a fact that the S. D.O. inspected the spot and sent up a report to the D.C. on 1.2.35 (Flag W) and this was received by the D.C. (Flag Z). From this report it is seen that the O/S made by Mr. Subbarao in his petn is correct.

The Chairman has been given besides which forming belonged to the Pandit Chairman (Vide Flag S). f.o. A 2.11.28.

The temple was given the grant in f.y. 28. by an order of the D.C. (Vide Flag A).

The question now for consideration is whether there is justification to stop the grant to the temple as recommended by the D.C. The ground on which this recommendation is made is that Mr. Subbarao does not agree to the appt of Dharmadarsi to manage the affairs of the temple (Vide D.C's report A 20.9.35 Flag U) and is not willing to abide by the Departmental Rules framed for the management of Inam Institutions receiving help from Govt (Part I of Flag U). All this trouble has, as can be seen from the records, arisen out of the question of appt of an archak to the temple. There was an archak by name Hanumantha Rao

Serial No.

Srinivasa Rao

Who had presumably been appointed to
Mr. Beevor-Brandreth and sent to the
old age of this man — he being nearly 90 yrs
of age — another man had to be appointed
in his place. His Archak wanted his
son to be appointed and the local Mirasi
officers supported him. Mr. B. G. Sitha
Rao however was not in favour of this appt
on the score that he was a full time Govt
Servant being an employee in the Waltair
Works Deptt. on a pay of Rs 40/- p.m.
available in the temple whole time of Archak
he did not know Mantrams etc. (Hay E) Further
this was not of a like character
in the old Archak's time & his character
was not above suspicion. When the Mirasi
officers insisted on this man being taken
on Mr Sitha Rao refused to take him on
it is on this
that further questions such as appt of
Dharmadarsis & decrees to Mirasi
Departmental orders crept up. Even in
regard to appt of Dharmadarsis, a person
of Mr Sitha Rao's stamp would be
not agree to appt of Dharm.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13 7 35

16)

No: transfer of the Nelumi allowance of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple in Banerghatta to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple & Chattram

Misc File No. 135 of 193 5-6 General and Revenue Secretariat

after the affairs of the Chattram & with the temple. (Flag H).

Apart from other considerations it looks as though Mr B. G. Subba Rao was partial in order when he pointed out to the Mysore officers that Srinivasa must not be done for the Archaka's place owing to his being a fish dinner on Rs 40/- pm. & not available for temple work when required. How the Mysg officers thought it fit to transfer this man is by no means clear. Further the Nelumi grant has been withheld for over 4 years from Septr 1931 on some flimsy ground and has not been restored even after the reports of the S.D.O. stating that Mr B. G. Subba Rao has kept the Chattram & clean and that the services are being conducted properly & this was recorded by the R.C.

3) In view of what is stated above there does not seem to exist sufficient reasons to stop the grant to the temple. and if this is accepted Consistent with the R/2nd recommendation does not arise.

11/1/36

(Gen: Secy)

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 13 JAN. 1936 40

(33) Please flag the order stopping the allce and 25/1/36

Noted with thanks B.G. 25/1/36

Serial No.

34 Re para 33 I was orally told when Secretary and received the following orders on 3-2-36
= Call for papers under the orders of which Muzrai officer the reduced allowance to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple in Basavanagudi Bangalore city has been withheld from Sept 1931".

35 Apln and accordingly —

Gr 4/2/36 36 4/2/36

5 Letter no 1070 of 6/2/1936 to the Muzrai Commissioner calling for additional information —

from Gr

Letter no P.C 45.7/34-35 of 26.5.36 from the Muzrai Commissioner furnishing the information called for.

37) It is submitted that the reduced allowance to the temple in Basavanagudi Bangalore Charities Basavanagudi Bl. was stopped by the orders of the S.D.O. on 28/8/31 pending B. G. Order.

X

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13 7 35

Temple is a private Instn & That was his reply & in ref in the management —
38 And this refers to paras 33 & 34 supra —
[The assertion S.D.O. is flagged x] —

10.6.36.

39) What is the present recommendation of the S.D.O? Have any orders been passed thereon by the Dy Comm^r or the Mysore Comm^r? A brief note may be put up after a study of the records now received.

10/6/36

40) Kind reply is invited to No B2-1169/34-35 dt 8.5.36 of the S.D.O Bangalore addressed to the D.C. from which it is seen that the petr Mr. Subba Rao, Son of the late Mr. Basave Gowdappa, refused to accept the appointment of P.W.D. Sub Overseer on the ground that he is a Govt. Official, in Some Engineering Office, that he does not know the Manthans Etc necessary for an Overseer & That he Cannot devote full time for the Temple work. From the Statement of the petr before the S.D.O, it is clear that he will accept any

P.W.D II

V

Serial No.

Other duly qualified man[illegible] in place of the one [illegible] by the Mysore officers [illegible] Sir Visvesvaraya regd to above. Accepting the Travancore views by the Pet[illegible] in S.Bro. States that the Pet[illegible] has changed his attitude, & has accepted the rights of interference of the Mysore officers in the affairs of the Instn. In these circumstances he has proposed that some other qualified person may be asked to be appointed as above & that the arrears of Savings Bank allowance) be waived entirely and the payment of the allowance continued.

This recommendation of the S.Bro appears to have been lost sight of by the D.C and the M.C, as there is no reference to it above in P. to D. dtd - & no action has been taken by either officer so far; the attention of the M.C will be drawn to the above situation in the T.D.O's report (Para 4) & his comments required.

Y

GS 18/41 41) The Mysore Comm.r's letter on the proposals of the S.D.C. Bangalore, to restore the pension

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7 35

payable to Sri Anjaneya temple in Beene Govindappa Chattram at Basavanguda Bangalore city, was not approved by the Amildar Comr., who in his letter No. R.C. 120 dated 12th March 1935 ordered that the payment of Rs. ... allowance to the temple in Beene Govindappa's Chattram should be stopped. (Vide para 21 of the notes). The Amildar Comr. has not submitted to Govt. the records of his office relating to this order, which were returned to him with this office letter No. 1070 dated 6th February 1936. The Amildar Comr. may be requested to forward them, as they are important records required for the disposal of this case. Submitted with a draft.

19.6.36.

Letter No 1758 dated 24.6.1936 to the Amildar Commissioner Calling for the Particulars records of his Office. Pertaining to 19.6.1936 from Mr. B.S. Subba Rao of Beene Govindappa's Chattram Bangalore City requisitioning for reasons set forth therein

Serial No.

Payment of arrears of rehabilit allowance sanctioned for the Tenants

ordered in G.O. dated 30.6.1936 on the P.W.D.

43 = Where is this Case pending?"

44) The M.C's office file and Index has been called for (Vide 754 dt. 1.6.1936) and the records of the may be put up for approval in f.c.

U.S. Supdt. —

G.O. dated 30.6.36. Gen Sec. 30/6/36

Letter no PC 454/34-35 dt 2-7-1935 from the Mazrai Commissioner submitting the records of his office on the subject.

47 Vide paras 25 & 32 (pp 17 of the note) for the note on the subject. The S.D.O has since recommended that the arrears of rehabilitation allowance to the tenants in Bayar Govindapalli may be released & the grant continued in view of the fact that the Asst (P.W.D) has since changed his attitude & has withdrawn his objection & the interference of any official in the affairs of the

The M.C. himself has said (Vide his opinion)

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

R.: Nehimi allowance granted for the benefit of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple - Revenue Gomindappa Chatram. Basavanapur - Bangalore City.

File No. of 1935-6. General and Revenue Secretariat

that Sri Anjaneya Swami temple in Revenue Gomindappa Chatram has no other income & that the allowance may be continued

The temple of the same name viz. Sri Anjaneya Swami adjoining the Ophthalmic Hospital Bangalore City has always been in the maintenance of Devadaya Inam

(14/Rev Spp-25-E dy 6.5.26) NT.

while the temple in Revenue Gomindappa Chatram has no such land grant. The latter is a village Inam as stated in para 6 of his letter dy 31/10/35 - Instances of abolishing Dharmadarsis for such institutions are very rare - The need for the appointment of a Committee of Dharmadarsis as per rule 2 of his letter 5/14/3/35 addressed to the D.C. Bangalore does not arise as it is merely a village Inam - The request of the petitioner in P.W.D II appears tenable - Subject to the rejection of Paras 33 to 39 supra -

7/7/36

50) The request of the petitioner may, in the circumstances, be granted.

GENERAL - 8 JULY 1936

Gen'l Secy 51) Will U.S. please see me for a minute re this some time? dw 1417

dy 7/7/36

Serial No.

52) The case dealt with in this file relates to the proposal to stop the Nehimi allowance of Rs. 98-12-0 (1a) sanctioned some years ago in favour of the Anjaneya Swami temple in Benne Govindappa's chuttram at Basavangudi and to transfer the same to another temple of the same deity in front of the Mintō Ophthalmic Hospital.

53) The reason urged for stopping the allowance in the case of the first named temple was on the ground that the son of the Donor contested that it was a private institution and he was not agreeable to abide by the departmental rules for the management of temples receiving help from Govt. The grant is one made by Govt. in 1925; but it was stopped in 1931 by the Sub Dn Officer without, it would appear, any reference to Govt for sanction to this step

54) From the further correspondence that has ensued, it is seen that the whole trouble arose in connection with the appointment of an archak to the temple. The archak originally appointed by the Donor being 90 years old wanted his son to take his place and the local muzrai officers supported the proposal. But the Donor's son did not agree on the ground that the nominee was a Govt. employee in the Water Works department and did not know any mantrams for conducting worship. He was however agreeable to take any other qualified man in his place.

55) The Sub Division Officer thereupon submitted a report

Rpt. Since 6 months -

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03591—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

Re: Arrears of Nethimi allowance to the temple in Benne Govindappa's Chattram at B as advocated Banishing its continuance & the proposed transfer of the same to the temple near Ophthalmic Hospital - Remarks & orders.

Muz File No. 135 of 193 5-6. General and Revenue Secretariat

to the Dy. Commr saying that Benne Govindappa's son had changed his attitude and accepted the right of interference of the muzrai officers in the affairs of the institution. He therefore recommended that some other qualified man may be appointed as archak of the temple and that the nethima allowance that had been withheld may be paid and continued as heretofore.

56) But this recommendation has not been ~~given effect~~ accepted to by the Dy. Commr or the ~~Dist~~ Muzrai Commr. The latter has made a recommendation for the stoppage of the allowance and its transfer to another temple.

57) There appears to be no reason to justify the stoppage of the allowance. It may therefore be ordered to be continued subject to the usual conditions about the institution being kept in a proper state of maintenance etc. and a qualified archak being appointed for the conduct of worship.

58) The temple in front of the Minto Ophthalmic Hospital may also be helped by the grant of a suitable allowance from the savings effected by the discontinuance of Nethimi allowance in certain cases which were merged in the Chattram savings fund — as was done in the case of Dharma Pravartha M. L. Nagappa Setty's Ganapathy temple at Chikmagalur recently.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 31 JULY 1936

S, T & L

Gen. Secy

First Member

59 30/7/36 27/7/36 27 JULY

60) The M.C maybe asked to in regard to their ltr SJR 6/36

61) Dist 30/7/36

Serial No.

- 8 AUG. 1936

Prots.

No 235 of 13-8-1936 to the Municipal Commissioner calling for his opinion on the points raised therein.

Petition of 23-9-36 from B.S. Subbaraya praying for steps being taken on his request about the removal of the B.N. Swamy Koodhy archak proposed by the Department for the temple of Sri Anjaneya Swami and for the continuance of the Archaic Cash grant.

(6) Dist. Supt. is invited to note views in paras 52–60 supra. The opinion of the M.C. called for in this Office letter of even no. of 13/8/36 is awaited — No action at this stage.

(7) To submit file to M C to be awaited

(8) Dist. remarks

Issued No 621 of 4.11.1936.

26

Re: Continuance of Nehiru allowance for the benefit of Sri Anjaneyaswami temple Benne Govindappa Chattram.

Mz. File No. 135 of 1935

General and Revenue Secretariat

70) Dfs requests that since the report from the Mz: Collr. of 2 received

71) Ltr 2/1/37

No 1216 of 2.3.37 to the Mz Commissioner Calling for the submission of report specified therein.

Letter no P.C457/34-35 of 3.4.1937 from the Muzrai Commissioner Stating that for reasons set forth therein the Nehiru allowance given to the temple of Sri Anjaneya Swamy at Benne Govindappa Chattram be withdrawn and the recommendation made in his letter of 1.11.1935 be rescinded P.U.D II

Petition of 26.4.37 from Sri B.G. Subba Rao Son of the donor Benne Govindappa Stating that necessary services in the temple & the Archakas are all properly arranged for at his own cost from 1931 & that he would abide by the rules & regulations of the Muzrai Dept in respect of the management of the Chattram and the temple affairs P.U.D III

72) P.U.D II is a reply to this office letter no 238 of 13-8-36. In para 2 the M.C says * E.

Serial No.

on 27.1.37

to the Mustahlika (vide) by Mr Subba Rao, who
later admit that the land is not a proper
Inst[n]. and that he has no objection to have it treated
as a Muzj. - 2nd[ly], subject mania and [illegible] conditions
on the lines laid down in Muzj Regulation and
Mly issued thereunder, 3rd[ly] that he does not
agree to take B.N. Sivaram's property as asked,
x O the reasons being as stated in para 5 of supra.
Mr Subba Rao objects to the above on the score that
R he is a Loukika Brahmin, knowing no
Mantrams, that he is a whole time [illegible]
Cashier in the Water Works &c.
Sevaka for a pay of Rs 35/- p.m. Medras
agreed - The Amildar has on 30.1.37 reported
x L that as Mr Subba Rao has consented to abide
by the Muzj. rules prescribed for the management
of temple receipts & only helps without claiming
it as a private Inst[n]. The allowance
may be continued as heretofore. The S.D.O
L agrees with him and says that the allowance
may be continued (vide his report of 2.2.37)
The D.C. also in his letter No C3-801/35-2
of 10/2/37 to the M.C. has recommended
as Mr Subba Rao is now willing to
make over the temple & the management
and to abide by the instructions
allowances &c.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—30,000—8-9-36.

R. - Rehini allowance granted to Benna Govindappa Temple Chalkere

File No. 135 of 193 5. — General and Revenu Secretariat

Serial No.

In para 3, the M.C. has for the first time raised the objection of the Chalkere C/o No late has made no mention of in his letter of 1.11.35. This forms a different subject altogether. Even in respect of this, the previous tahsildar's view, as in his letter of 26.4.37 that he maintains regular accounts of both the temple & the Chalkere & that he would submit the same for the inspection of any authority when called for & that he would abide by the rule pertaining to the same depn. In these circumstances it is respectfully submitted that there are no reasons to withhold the payment of arrears from 1931 & to continue the Rehini allowance, as the Dar has no other income - (vide M.C. opinion recorded in page 8 of the annexure against N No. 13). General conditions of grant in these cases are proper performance of Services in the Dargah & the maintenance of the temple or mutt building in good condition - Both of these are fulfilled in the particular Case - (Here the Deputy C. M.C's proposal ends

P.U.D. I

A

B.

N.

Re: Nehmi allowance etc
to Beene

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 135 of 193 5. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Closely is for orders — filed with reference to the minutes in

K

(1) The Nehmi allowance is granted to the Sri Anjaneya temple which is located in Beene Govindappa's chattram. The site on which the chattram is built was granted subject to the condition that the chattram should be used solely for charitable purposes and that its income should be used for maintaining in efficient condition. (Vide Inam Comr's letter No. Ft 6115 dated 6th June 1932. But it is reported that the major portion of the chattram is occupied by one Subba Rao and that the vessels of the Bidi Bidadi chattram granted to this chattram by Govt. are not given to the public for their use. It is also reported that the remaining portions of the chattram are also let out. (Vide Inspl C's letter

J

No. P.C. 120 dated 28th March 1933 - flags M and P). 32-33 The Inspl Comr. seems to be therefore justified in insisting on the chattram, in which the temple is situated, being also used

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417 -GPB—30,000 8 9 36

Vehicle allowance granted to Benares Srinivasappa Chettiar

File No. 135 of 1935. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

control of the Inspl. Dept. His proposal to stop the Vehicle allowance to the Trustee, which is a part of the Chattram is therefore submitted for orders. The portion of the case worker's note marked "A" and "B" in para 3 of the notes do not seem to be accurate in view of the facts stated above. The temple is thus in what is practically the private property of Mr. S.G. Subba Rao. It is a point for consideration whether any Vehicle grant should be given to such an institution. Strictly speaking the Municipality would seem to be justified in resuming the site on which the Chattram is built as the terms of the grant are not being fulfilled.

10.5.37. 35) The Inspl. Commissioner in his letter dated 3rd April 1937 states that Mr. Subba Rao has erected a Muzhabilla agreeing to the arrangement being managed by the Inspl. Officers, but he does not agree to the appointment of Srinivasa Murthy as Archak. The D.C. Bangalore District in his letter to the

P.W.D.

Nelimi allowance granted to Govindappa's Chatram

neral and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 193

[App. F. III—2

Serial No.

M.C. dated 10.2.1957 has also stated that the Archaka Temple situated in the premises of Venne-Sarvidappai Chattiary to Sarvamanya enjoyed and to abide by the departmental rules in this connection, and that the allowance to the temple may be continued. The Munsiff Bangalore South and the Revenue Divisional Officer Bangalore South Division are also of the same opinion. It is submitted that the grant of Archaka allowance is made for the conduct of the daily Pujas in the temple strictly, and as the Pujas are going to be performed regularly as the said temple there seems to be no good justification to the discontinuance of the Archaka allowance, and the view taken by the [illegible] and the Chairman should be [illegible] unit is rather high to be [illegible] is also invited to the G.O. dated 10th October 1956 about the payment of Archaka allowance in the statement [illegible] the M.C. has stated [illegible] granted to the temple [illegible] has no objection to the grant of the Archaka allowance [illegible]

N. (Serial No 13)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Continuance of Mehtri allowance granted to Bendre Gondappa's Chaltram

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 135 of 1936-37

Serial No.

Anjaneyaswami temple at Bonne Sarvidappa's Chaltram may be ordered to be continued subject to the condition that the temple and its environs are kept clean in other condition, and the lamps are regularly lighted, and a qualified archak duly appointed for the said purpose. The recommendation of D.C. Bangalore District for a monthly grant of Rs 15- from the General Muzrai Funds for a period of five years in the first instance to Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple Hirahalli near the Mehta Ophthalmic Hospital Bangalore may kindly be considered. Submitted yesterday para 60 of the notes supra

11/5/37.

Gen Secy). For perusal-

16) The Amildar, the S.D.O. and the D.C. think that the Mehtri allce may be continued in view of the muchalika now executed by Mr B G Subba Rao, but the Muzr Comr is not satisfied with the terms of the muchalika and thinks that Subba Rao is unreasonable and meddlesome.

Apparently the difficulty is about the

60
1093

Payment of the arrears of Nehimi allowance and continuance of the said allowance for the benefit of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple Revne Gomastapatchakk

General and Revenue Secretariat

[App. F. III—2

File No. 135 of 1936.

Serial No.

Archak Srinivasamurty. Subbarao objects to this and the department seems to have suggested that he should be employed

It seems to be unnecessary to insist on a particular archak being employed. After all what the Dept. gives is part of the expense and it would be impossible for the department to see that the worship in the Temple taking place regularly except through this hereditary whose father built the Temple archaka. He may be permitted to employ a competent archak -

77) The cash grant allowance may perhaps be continued on this condition as agreed to in the minchalika.

78) Proposes

First Member

79) I agree - The archak should be one approved by the local officers of the Temple subject to the approval of the Inam officers -

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER BOARD OF REVENUE
14 MAY 1937
No. 1445

WD 0417--GPB—80,000—8 9 36

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Re: Rehimi allowance to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in Benne Gonidappa's Chatram Basavanapura Bangalore city.

File No. 1043 of 193 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

81) Please put up clean typed draft

Submitted with a typed draft as desired in para 81 supra —

GS 24/6/37

83) Draft ado for approval below minute.

2/24/6/.

(Sgd) Illegible. Why a fo.? A letter SW do.

GENERAL SECRETARY 24 JUNE 1937 3553

85) Please put up clean draft as desired

Illegible 10/7/37

X+X

2/10/7/.

86) Draft ofn ado —

GS 10/7/37

87) For approval.

(Sgd) Illegible.

88) Illegible 10/7/37

2/10/7/.

Hon'ble Member 89 Illegible 26/7

677 07.7.37.

90 Illegible 27/7/37

91 Illegible

1043

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 135 of 1935.

Serial No.	
1	No 106 dy 28/7/37 to the Muzrai Commissioner stating that Mr. B.G. Subba Rao may be permitted to enter some other competent archak with the approval of the local Muzrai officers and the Nelmis allowance continued to the Institution on condition that the temple will be subject to the inspection and control of Muzrai officers 92) D/- endn to the peon sent GS 29-7-37 29/7/37 DMW 29/7/37
14	No 114 dy 29.7.1937 to the B.G. Subba Rao Basve Gowdappa's Chattram - Basavanapura Bangalore city intimating the continuance of the Nelmis allowance for the benefit of the Institution referred to therein Subject to the conditions set forth therein.

GS 29/7/37

21/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 0417—GPB—80,000—S 9-36

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenu Secretariat

Serial No.

M C 13.]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 25th October *193* 5

FROM K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
~~V. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., Bar.-at-Law~~
Muzrai Commissioner of Mysore.



To

L. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

Dem 135-35-P

SIR,

Transfer of the Nehimi Allowance of Rs 98-12-0 to Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple in front of the Linto Opthalmic Hospital, Bangalore City.

I have the honor to forward herewith petitions in original from Udipi Dasachar and Sri Anjaneyaswami Kainkaryabhivriddini Sabha, Bangalore City, together with a copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C3-4077/34-35 dated 23-9-1935 recommending a monthly grant of Rs 15/- for the above temple.

2. This temple was a private institution founded by some devotees about 30 years ago and on account of its growing importance and meagre resources, the inam land belonging to Sri Huttarayaswamy, a defunct institution ~~was handed~~ granted to it as per G.O.No.1412-4/Muz.38-25-6 dated 6th May 1926.

3. The petitioners state that the income from the inam land is about Rs 10/- a year which is inadequate for its maintenance and pray for a monthly grant. The Deputy Commissioner recommends a monthly grant of Rs 15/- from the General Muzrai Funds in the first instance for 5 years.

4. The Nehimi Allowance of Rs 98-12-0 per year sanctioned in favour of Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in Benne Govindappa's Chattram at Basavan gudi Extension vide item 40 of Statement I to G.O.No.566-75/Muz.306-33-2 dated 13-10-1934 has been withheld as Mr. Subba Rao has been contesting that it is a private institution and is not agreeable to abide by the departmental rules the management of temples receiv-

receiving help from Government. The Deputy Commissioner recommends that the allowance may be stopped.

5. As the temple of Sri Anjaneyaswami near the Opthalmic Hospital is centrally situated and is growing in importance, I recommend that the sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for transferring the above Nehimi Allowance of Rs 98-12-0 per annum to the above temple with effect from 1st November 1935.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-4077 dated 23-9-35 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In rererence to your letter No.P.C.457/34-35 dated 10th September 1935 calling for separate proposals for the grant of some help to the Sri Anjaneya-swamy temple near Minto Opthalmic Hospital, I have the hon'r to state that a monthly grant of Rs 15/- may be sanctioned to this temple out of the General Muzrai Funds for a period of five years in the first instance as no other source is now available in this district.

The petition and other enclosures accompany.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.







To

The First Member of Council,
Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Aug 135-35-2

Respected Sir,

I beg to submit copy of the petition presented by me to the Muzarai Commissioner on 31-10-35, Re: the affairs of Temple of Benne Govindappa's Choultry, Basavanagudi, Bangalore City.

Two questions are involved in the representations made by me, namely:-

i). The management of Choultry as a whole;

ii). The continuance of Cash Grant to the Temple.

I am told that the connected records are now before Government for orders. If this is a fact, I respectfully beg to submit that the recommendations are ex - parte. I have not been given an opportunity to state my case. Even at this distance of time, I fail to understand the provision of Law under which an unqualified and unwanted "Archak" is proposed to be appointed for the Temple.

Daily and periodical services in the Temple are being regularly performed by me out of my private resources, in spite of the fact that an embarassing situation was created by the Deputy Commissioner and in temporarily suspending the payment of Cash Grant.

The entire premises are kept clean and made available

available to bona fide public and the satisfactory conduct of services are amply testified by the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore, after personal inspection and elaborate enquiry. In view of these undeniable facts, grounds on which the Grant is proposed to be disc ued are not known.

I beg, therefore, that the entire records may kindly be sent for and perused and I am given an opportunity to make my submissions before final orders are passed.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. G. Subbaram.
B. G. Kindappa Hon
S.I. Engineer
Bangalore City

Bangalore,
Dated 6-11- 1935.
Encl.1. 6-11-1935

To

The Muzarai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I beg leave to submit the following few lines about the management of late Mr. Benne Govindappa's Religious and Charitable Institutions in Basavanagudi, for your kind consideration and favourable orders:-

1. The previous facts of the case will be clear from submission previously made by me in the same connection, suffice it to submit now that my bona fides as regards the religious and charitable Institutions founded by my father. The lamented memory has been greatly misjudged, and I have been made the object of unfair attacks and unjust criticisms. I may be permitted to assure you, in this connection, that nothing is dearer and holier to me than to perpetuate the memory of my deceased father by maintaining well and efficiently the Institutions founded by him, and improve them as well. The objectionable behaviour of a few interested persons cause me the greatest mental and physical pain, and in the heat of excitement, I might have unconsciously given cause for annoyance to Government Officers. I have never disowned the facts that I have been making enough and more efforts, to make the institutions very useful for the public. I have made great personal sacrifices, and I am maintaining the Temple and services therein and also the Chattram in a tip-top condition, as I have been certified by the Sub Division Officer, after a personal inspection and elaborate enquiries. My one ambition in life has been to perpetuate the charities founded by my father, and make them more useful and beneficient, in

order that a large number of people may be benefitted thereby.

2. This could be only achieved, if sufficient latitude subject, of course, to reasonable limitations, is allowed to me in the management of Charities. I seek no personal aggrandizement or benefit in thus attempting to improve the Charities and I am also contemplating to make over the Temple Charity to the management of Government in course of time, after having set right its affairs and made substantial improvements. Till such a time, as I shall be able to achieve these, I beg I may be appointed as "Sole Dharmadarshi" under the provision of the rules published under the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions' Regulation.

3. As regards appointing the son of late Archak, Hanumanthachar, I have already made my submissions and I do not wish to tire your patience by repeating them. Suffice it to submit that there are practical and unavoidable difficulties in the way of appointing Mr. B. Srinivasa murthy, as Archaka. The Archaka, I have appointed is fully qualified and has been doing his work quite satisfactorily. If need be, he may be examined and ordered to be continued in his appointment. In the making of future appointments, I shall have no objections to abide by Departmental rules and regulations.

4. To my sincere sorrow I now understand that the Deputy Commissioner has recommended that the monthly Grant to the Temple may be ordered to be discontinued. I consider that no greater misfortune can fall to my lot considering that I have been maintaining the Temple all these years out of my resources. The Deputy Commissioner's recommendation, if true is ex-parte, for I was given no opportunity to explain myself.

5. In these circumstances, I most respectfully beg, that you may be graciously pleased to review the whole matter and order the continuance of the Cash Grant, so generously granted

for the support of the Temple and to defer the questions of appointing a set of Dharmadarshis for a few years, as my humble self being appointed as "Sole Dharma Darsi."

6. My Temple is classed as a village Institution and has no source of income other than the Cash Grant. Hence no work to supervise. Village Institutions do not require the appointment of Dharma Darsis. I do not see why these should be an exception to my temple.

For the above act of generosity, I shall in duty bound feel ever grateful to you

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. G. Sadasiva Rao

Bangalore City,
Dated 31st Oct. 1935.

"TRUE COPY."

B. G. Sadasiva Rao
57. Cubbon Road
Bangalore City

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 785/Misc 135-35-3

Dated, Bangalore, 12th December 1935

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.C.457/34-35 dated 1st November 1935 in the matter of the proposed transfer of the nehimi allowance of Rs.98-12-0 belonging to the temple of Sri Anjaneya-swami ~~temple~~ Benne Govindappa's Chattram in front of the Minto Ophthalmic Hospital of the City, I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward for reference the entire records leading to the order withholding the grant to the temple at Benne Govindappa's Chattram and the records relating to the Chattram itself.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government.

mk.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section c May

File No. 135-35

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to 483

No 785

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 12-12-35

Signed by for B. Rudduck

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P.C. 457/5a-35 dt. 1.11.1935 in matter of the proposed land for the Ashram of Sri Anjaneya Swami - Bangalore Cantonment at Bangalore City. I am directed to forward herewith Notification of the Chief Commissioner, I am also to request you to be good enough to forward the certificate asked for

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

to the Lords with Morning the Grain
to the Temple at Benares and Gondappa's
Chattram and also no ecords
relating to the Chattram Shelf

M (J 13.]
No. PC 457/34-35

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 16th December 1935.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 691 19 DEC. 1935 MYSORE

FROM K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
~~N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

135-35-3

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., L.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 18 DEC. 1935

SIR,

19/12/35

Transfer of the Nehimi Allowance of Sri Anjaneyaswamy Temple at Benne Govindappa's Chattram at Basavangudi, Bangalore City.

With reference to your letter No.785/Luz.135-35-3 dated 12th December 1935, I have the honor to forward herewith the
No.R.Dis.176 of 24-25:
No.D1 Dis.32 of 35-36: office together with correspondence ending with letter No.C3-801/35-36 dated 20th September 1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, and containing the statement of Mr. Subba Rao dated 12th August 1935 given before the Amildar.

14

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 6th February 1936

No. 1070/Rev/35-35-5

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject.- Re transfer of the nehimi allowance of Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Benne Govindappa's Chattram at Basavangudi, Bangalore City.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. M.C. 457 of 1934-35 dated the 18th December 1935 submitting records relating to the above subject I am directed to enquire whether it was under the orders of the Amildar or the Sub Division Officer or the Deputy Commissioner or the Muzrai Commissioner that the nehimi allowance to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in Benne Govindappa's Chattram at Basavangudi, Bangalore City has been with-held from September 1931 and to request you to be so good as to forward for reference the papers containing the order referred to above. The enclosures received with your letter under advertence are herewith returned for reference and retransmission with your reply.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

C.R.G.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mem 3

File No. 135-38

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to .....691.....

No. 1070 Genl Records

To

The M. C.

Subject.— Re: transfer of the Pelimi allowance of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Benna Govindappa's Chatram at Basavanapur Bangalore City.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P.C 457/34-35 dt. 12/12/35 submitting records relating to the above subject I am directed to enquire ~~to state~~ whether it was under the orders of the Amir or the ~~records to be traced~~

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 6-2-36

Signed by T.V.B. Kuddus Sahib

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 01356—GPB—22 4—2 (-34

~~Letter~~
~~orders of which Inquiry Officer~~

the Relief allowance of Sri
Anjaneya Swami Lambu in
Benne Govindappa Chakravarthy
Basavanapur Bangalore city -
has been withheld from Sept 1931,
and to request you to be so good
as to forward for refl
the papers containing the
order refd to above. The enclosures
received with your letter
letter/order
advertence are herewith returned
for refce & Return submission
with your rpts.
~~with the~~

Thanking &c

J 4/2

~~Yours &c~~

GV 4/2

Muz 135-35-6 (52)

No. PC 457/34.5 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Rec. 1 & file Bangalore, ...21st..May.....1936.



FROM

K. V ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,



.....General.....*Department,*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1070/Muz.135-35-5 dated the 6th February 1936, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.C3-801/35-36 dated 18th May 1936 together with the connected records re. the stoppage of Nehimi Allowance, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M N Anantaraman
Assistant Commissioner
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters)

MEDIATE.

Letter No.C3-801/35-36 dated 16-5-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, ...e District, to the Muzral Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Transfer of Vehimi allowance granted to the Anjaneyaswami temple in Penne Govindappa's Choultry to the Anjaneyaswami temple near Virto Opthalmic Hospital.

With reference to your Memo No.F.1.457/34-35 dated 1-5-36 ... records relating to the stoppage of the Vehimi allowance granted to the ...y Swami shrine in the Penne Govindappa's Choultry, Tasava ..., I have honor to state that the allowance was stopped ... the orders ... the Sub ... Officer on 26-6-1931, pending final orders on ... that the temple was a private institution and that Government had no right to interfere in its management. The connected papers are herewith ... reference.

"JOY"

...

for Muzral Commissioner.

//.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 22nd June 1936.

No. 17546/Muz 135-35-7

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir, Stoppage of the Nehmi allowance granted to Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple in Benne Govindappa's Chattram at Basa Bangalore City.

With reference to your letter No.I.C.457/34-35 dated 21st May 1936 on the subject referred to above, I am directed to observe that the records of your office relating to your letter No.H.C.120/32-33 dated 12th March 1935 stopping the payment of the Nehmi allowance referred to above, which were returned to you with this office letter No.1070/Muz.135-35-5 dated 6th February 1936, have not been forwarded to Government with your letter of 21st May 1936 referred to above. I am directed to request you to forward them early.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Govt.

C.M.3

Appendix F [G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

1 Cert

Section Survey

File No. 135-35

Serial No. 7

No. 1754

Diary No. of letter replied to 1247

To

The Amildar Comr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

tne 193 .

Sir,

Stoppage of the Nehru allowance to granted to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in Benne Govindappas Chathram at Basavangudi, Bangalore City.

Date of issue 24 to June 36

Signed by Asst. to S.

P. W. D. S.

With reference to your letter No. R.C. 457/34-5 dated 21st may 1936 on the subject referred to above, I am directed to observe that the ... of your office relating to your letter No. R.C. 120/32-33 dated 12th march 1935 stopping the payment of the Nehru allowance referred to above, has which was

List of enclosures .....

Copied by 22/6

Examined by .....

WD 21956—GPB—29 500—12-6-36

to you with this office letter
No. 1070 / Genl. 135-35-5 dated 6th Feby. 1936, A
have not been forwarded to
Govt. with your letter of 21st
May 1936 referred to above. I
am directed to request you to
forward them early
I have etc.,

21/4/36
Secy. to Govt.,
Gen. Dept.

Seen by the members.
Insd. to send for
25/6/36

To

S. P. RAJAGOPALA CHAR, ESQ., B.A.,B.L.,
1st member of council,
Bangalore.

CITY OF
FIRST RECEIVED IN JUDICIAL
27 JULY 1936
7749
GENL. & REV SECRETARIAT
29 JUN 1936
MUZ

Sir,

Where is the case pending?
26/6

I, the undersigned is the owner and Manager of Sri Hanuman Temple, constructed in Benne Govindappa's Choultry Basavangudi and beg to state that "Nehimi" cash-grant was suspended in 1931 by the Suo-Division Officer, Bangalore for my refusal to appoint a disqualified and ignorant official, who is not of Vaidik family for Archik Post.

I have no objection to take any other Archik of good birth and well versed in Temple tests and services. Government might mention some qualified names and I will select a man and intimate the Government for final appointment.

As a donor, I must have preference to some extent in matter of Archik selection. "Nehimi" payment has been stopped for no reasonable fault of mine and question of consideration and disposal is pending for the last 5 years. Earliest attention and order of payment with all arrears is kindly requested.

This is in continuation of my application on 6th November 1935.

Yours obediently,
B. G. Subba Rao.
Archik of Benne Govindappa's Choultry

Bangalore,
19th June 1936.

thro G.S.(

No. [illegible]57/34-35

Cur. Files

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...6.....July.....193 5

2 July



FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore

Mez 138-35-8

To



The Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

.....~~Judicial~~.....*Department,*

SIR,

Supply of rental allowance claimed by the Anjeneyaswami temple in Pete Govt Complex's Chaveram at Bangalore City.

I have the honour to enclose herewith the* [illegible] noted files of this office regarding the [illegible] as required in your letter No. 17 4/Muz 135-36-7 dated the 24th instant.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K. V. Anantaraman
for Muzrai Commissioner.

*
R.Dis 176/35-36
Dl.Dis 32/35-36.

From Govt. Sec. S.

I

Secretary The To
Member Land
to the Govt. Secy.





59

S. P. Rajagopalachar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
First Member in Council, Bangalore.

Sir,

I the undersigned is the owner of Benne Govindappa's Choultyy, Basavangudi. I have submitted the Choultry account to the Revenue Sub Division Officer, Bangalore on the 6th and taken his signature and beg to state that,the whole institution consisting of Temple and Choultry portions is in satisfactory condition and management. I have added a room at the gate entrance for the use,benefit and for reception of visitors, and renovated the gate entrance in stone construction at a cost of Rs.600/- and mean spending Rs.5000/- from time to time for supplementary additions and improvements. When all is done, if Government pleases to supervise and improve the building for the convenience of public, I will be so glad and thankful for kindly help snd guidance.

Temple stipend has been unnecessarily suspended without proper and careful enquiry for the last 5 years in spite of my constant drawing the attention of the Government. I am meeting the monthly temple expenditure with great hardship. The sinful recommendation of the appointment by the government for the post of Archikaship, an unqualified official and ignorant Lovikika (not of Vaidika birth)and whose daughter is suffering from Lepercy and Lucedarma, and her presence in temple is highly intolerable and objectionable, is the root cause of all my unseen troubles and misfortune. I am the donor and I must have been consulted before the issue of order. Immediate orders of the cancellation of the recommendation official Archik is the greatest salvation to me and order to pay the Nehimi cash grant with all arrears

uptodate is my hmmble prayer and request. I am aware that Sub-Division Officer had not definite orders from the Muzarai Commissioner to stop cash grant and inflect serious punishment and injury to me for my refusal to take the useless Archik.

Yours obediently,

B. G. Sabbaraya

Bangalore,
8th July 1936.



To

S. P. Rajagopalachar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
First member in Council, Bangalore.

Sir,

Choultry is situated in very prominent place between Basavangudi Bazaar Road and Sankarmutt Road junction corner. Magnificient building has become a necessity for travellers and marriage performing people. You are kindly requested to visit and inspect the construction and realise the importance and truth of my honest statement.

Building is suffering for want of maintenance fund. Supplementary constructions are absolutely needed for (1)Marriage (2) daily travellers and (3) Archika quarters to carry out the full use of choultry and temple objects.

Temple entrance is now within the premises of choultry portion. I am proposing to extend the temple building to the West and open a door on the Sankarmutt side to the advantage of marriage party visitors and general public. Whole construction and improvements will cost at least 10,000/- for which plans and estimates will be submitted. Now I am too poor to spend a pie more I will be so grateful if Government takes up the position and full management and sanctions 2/3 of the cost of supplementary construction out of any Chatrama savings fund and I will contribute the rest of 1/3 cost, Building becomes a good investment in Basa[illegible]i by the Government for the benefit of the public. Earliest inspection, consideration and sanction are kindly requested. After inspection matter may be referr dxo to the Municipality to collect rent from the maintenance quarter and also manage temple affairs in till government comes to final settlement and understanding. As proposed already I may be made a "Sole Dharmadarasi and allowed to live in premises so long

long as I am alive and manage all affairs on behalf of Government subject to official supervision and reasonable control.

Yours obediently,

-7-1936.

B. G. Subba Rao.

Owner Benne Govindappa's Choultry,
III Cross Road, Basavangudi.





Interview

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

(M u z r a i)

Memo regarding the subject matter of representation made to the Hon'ble the First Member of Council at an interview granted by him to Mr. T. Appu Rao, B.A.,B.L., Advocate, and Convenor of Sri Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple, near the Minto - Opthalmic Hospital, Albert Victor Road, Bangalore City on Friday the 24th July 1936.

---oo---

On an application presented in May 1935, by the Archak of Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple, near Minto Opthalmic Hospital, for the grant of some monthly allowance, the learned Muzrai Commissioner was - pleased to recommend that that the monthly allowance that had then already been withdrawn from the Anjaneya Temple attached to Benne Govindappa's - Choultry be granted to this temple (near the Minto Opthalmic Hospital).

3 It is learnt that the concerned records have now come up before Government in connection with - the said application presented about one year and three months back.

4) Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple (near Minto Opthalmic Hospital) is a very popular institution situated

at a central and busy place and is attracting a large number of devotees at all hours of the day requiring the whole-hearted and continuous services of the Archak who is bestowing his - time and energy to the temple. It is necessary that such an institution should have a grant of some monthly allowance to facilitate the due regular and whole-hearted performance of the - Poojas of the temple.

It is wherefore earnestly prayed that orders may be passed granting the allowance - according to the recommendations of the learned Muzrai Commissioner or from any other source.

BANGALORE,)
)
-7-1936.)

Convenor,
Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple,
Near Minto Opthalmic Hospital,
Albert-Victor Road,
Bangalore City.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 235/ Inc Records. Dated, Bangalore, 13th August 193 6.
Mis 135-35-9

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject: Stoppage of the Nehimi Allowance granted to Anjaneyaswami Temple in Benne Govindappa's chattram, Bangalore City and the transfer of the same for the benefit of Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple near Opthalmic Hospital, Bangalore City.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.M.C. 457/34-35 dated 2nd July 1936 submitting your office files in regard to the stoppage of the Nehimi allowance to the above Anjaneyaswami Temple in Benne Govindappa's chattram referred to above on the ground that the son of the donor claimed that it was a private institution and was not willing to abide by the rules of the Department for the management of temples receiving help from Government,

I am directed to observe that the trouble seems to have arisen in connection with the appointment as archak to the temple of the son of the previous archak to whom the donor's son objected as being a Government employee in the Water Works Department and not knowing the mantrams necessary for doing worship. As it appears that the donor's son does not now claim that the institution is private and accepts the right of interference of the Muzrai Officers in the affairs of the Institution and is willing to take as archak, I am to enquire whether the allowance in question may not be continued as heretofore subject to the usual conditions about the institution being kept in a proper state of maintenance etc., and a qualified archak being appointed for the conduct of worship.

Ss

The records received from you are herewith returned for reference and retransmission.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for

General Secretary to Government.

TSS.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mar

File No. 135-35

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... 10/10/36 No. 35/Sec. Records

To

The D.C.

Subject:- Re: Stoppage of the relief allowance granted to Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple in Basne Gowdanahalli Chatram Bangalore City and the transfer of the same to the benefit of Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple Near Obalesha Gudda Bangalore.

With ref. to correspondence ending with your

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

te of issue ..... 13/8/36 .....

gned by .... Mr. D.C.S.

TYPING SECTION
12 AUG 1936
GENL. D.

ist of enclosures .....

Copied by ..... AM 13/8 .....

Examined by.....

of the Indian allowance ~~of~~ without ~~the~~ [illegible]
family a Temple in Dumah's [illegible]
~~I am directed to state that the Reason~~ ref to Babw.

[illegible] for stopping the allowance passed
to the Temple in Bearer Pound office
~~Bombay Mail~~ in Grand Trunk Railway

~~Conducted~~ claimed That it was a
Private undertaking and he was not willing to abide
~~Agreeable to abide by the Resolution~~
by the Rules of the dept in the management of Temples
receiving help from Govt. ~~from~~
~~The later Correspondence between the~~
I am directed to observe that the
~~Rule it is evident that the whole~~
Govt. seems Harrison in connection with
the appointment of an assistant to
the Temple ~~The assistant originally~~
~~appointed by the Govt was to do~~
~~the Service in the Temple & was~~ and
after some time previous which
~~has now to take his Place, the~~
local Govt. objected Supported in
proposal. But the Govt's Sanction
~~has agree in the ground that in~~
when the Govt's Sanction needed ~~the Ground~~ agree
~~Sanction being~~ a Govt. employee is
and he
the whole work ~~of~~ ~~did~~ not [illegible]

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.

WD 0186—GPB—15,000 -6-9 34

GEN'L & REV. SECRETARIAT
302
24. SEP. 1936
MADRAS

To.

The General Secretary

G. R. S. Bangalore

23/7/36

Sir.

I presented an application
to S. P. Rajagopalu Chetty BA. BL
1st Quarterly Council
on 6-11-1935 and a Reminder
on 19-6-1936, regarding the
Remittal of Rs. 4.
Srinivasa Murthy proposed
Initiation, to Temple Service
and to Continue "Vahini"
Cash-grant.

Requesting one more
your kind and earliest attention
to my prayers.

Yours, your most obedient
Servant
J.G. Subba Rao
owner
Bunny Govindaraja Chetty
[illegible]

by now
23-9-1936

G.O. 1.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 960 5 APR. 1937 MUZRAI BRANCH

(G 13.)
No. J.C.(4.5.)/34-35
Encls: one file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 1st April ..... 1937.

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

V. Vedavyasacharya, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY. 3 APR. 1937

SIR,

Transfer of Nehimi Allowance of Rs 98-12-0 from Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple situated in Benne Govindappa's Chattram at Basavangudi, to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in front of the Minto Ophthalmic Hospital, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.235/Muz.135-35 dated 13-8-36 enquiring as to whether the allowance may not be continued to the temple situated in Benne Govindappa's Chattram, subject to the usual conditions in view of the changed attitude of Mr. Subba Rao, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to whom a copy of your letter was sent, was requested to obtain a mutchalika from Mr. Subba Rao, embodying the conditions enumerated in your letter.

2. From the mutchalika executed by Mr. Subba Rao before the Amildar on 27-1-1937 which is in the file, it is seen that Mr. Subba Rao while agreeing to some of the general conditions, does not give up his right to assert his view in that he says that he does not agree to the appointment of Srinivasamurti as archak. This cannot be said to be an unqualified surrender of his right in favour of Government.

3. Besides, there is no mention in the mutchalika about his willingness to have the chattram affairs also supervised by Muzrai Department. As both the chattram and the temple which is situate in the chattram, form one unit, there cannot be effective control

over ~~all~~ one of them without the other. The site for the constructio of the chattram and the temple was given by the Municipality at a concession rate of Rs 0-3-0 per sq.yd., on certain conditions (vide copy of Municipal Commissioner's letter No.4115 dated 8-6-1932 which is in the file).

4. From the whole correspondence, it seems to me that Mr. Subba Rao is unreasonable and ~~trouble~~meddle some and deserves no consideration. It seems best to withdraw the allowance and leave the institution to himself.

5. I therefore request that the Nehimi Allowance granted to this temple may kindly be withdrawn and the recommendation made in letter dated 1-11-1935 kindly sanctioned.

A copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter and other enclosures including the mutchalika are enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-801/35-36 dated 10-2-37 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Memo No.P.C.457/34-35 dated 25-9-36 regarding the continuance of the allowamce to the Anjaney swami temple in the premises of the Benne Govindappa's Choultry in Basavangudi, I have the honor to forward herewith the records received with Memo No.B2-1169/34-35 dated 2-2-37 from the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore, and to state that as Mr. Subba Rao is now willing to make over the temple to Government management and to abide by the departmental rules, the allowance to the temple may be continued. Mr. Subba Rao has executed a mutchalika to this effect in the presence of the Amildar.

"COPY"

M. N Chandalum

For Muzrai Commissioner.

106 28-7-37. CRE

Muz 135-35-14. 76

D.No 960/31-37

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.P.C.457/34-35 dated 3rd April 1937 in the matter of the nehimi allowance sanction-ith ed to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in Benne Govindappa's chatram at Basavangudi Extension, Bangalore City, stating that you are not satisfied with the terms of the Mutchalika given by Mr. B.G.Subba Rao and ~~that Mr. Subba Rao is unreasonable and meddlesome~~ and to state that the main difficulty seems to be about the appointment of a particular person as Archak of the temple and that it seems unnecessary to insist on any particular archak being employed. I am therefore to state that Mr. B.G.Subba Rao may be permitted to employ a competent archak ~~who should however be approved by the~~ with the approval of the local Muzrai Officers and the nehmi allowance continued to the institution on condition that the temple will be subject to the inspection and control of muzrai officers.

10
1937

The ~~entire~~ records received from you on the subject are returned herewith.

I have &c.,

General Secretary to Govt.

Issue of the Asst Sec

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 106 Enc 1 file Dated, Bangalore, 29th July 1937.

Muz 35-35-1

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.P.C.457/34-35 dated 3rd April 1937 in the matter of the nehimi allowance sanctioned to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in Benne Govindappa's chatram at Basavangudi Extension, Bangalore City stating that you are not satisfied with the terms of the mutchalika given by Mr B.G.Subba Rao and to state that the main difficulty seems to be about the appointment of a particular person as Archak of the temple and that it seems unnecessary to insist on any particular archak being employed. I am therefore to state that Mr.B.G.Subba Rao may be permitted to employ some other competant archak with the approval of local muzrai Officers and the nehmi allowance continued to the institution on condition that the temple will be subject to the inspection and control of muzrai officers.

The records received from you on the subject are returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

743

Mg 131-35-62





27

To

The General Secretary

G. A. Bangalore

Thr. G.S.

Mis 135-31



To

Rajamantra Pravina
S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
First Member of Council,
Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Respected Sir,

In obedience to Government Order, I have given a Statement before the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk, about the management of Anjaneya Temple, Basavanagudi, Bangalore. In furtherance of that statement, I beg to make the following submissions:-

1. In my several representations to Government under local Officers, I have made it perfectly clear that I shall not object to the appointment of a competent "Archak" other than Mr. B. Srinivasamurthi.

2. I being the son of late Founder, it has been my earnest endeavour to manage the Temple as efficiently as possible, and it is in view of this that I have prayed as being appointed as "Sole Dharmadarsi", the association of other persons being dispensed with.

3. That I have been largely supplementing the Government Grant in maintaining satisfactorily the services of the Temple, it is really unfortunate that without the consent of higher Officers, the payment of the Grant should have been temporarily suspended. Since then, I have been maintaining the entire Charities out of my private resources.

4. That the attempts made by several interested persons to create a connection between Temple and the Chattram is untenable. Both are different onstitutions,

and I am the Sole Proprietor of the latter, whereas the former is subject to the control and supervision of - Muzarai Officers. The perusal of the order passed by the Muzarai Commissioner sanctioning the "Cash Grant" will convince you that it was meant for the maintenance of the Temple alone.

5. That I have thrown open the Temple for the use of the Public and that I have been managing the services efficiently are established facts and I have no doubt that you will be convinced of my bona fides by kind - perusal of the records.

6. That it is needless for me to submit once again that I am quite prepared to give any further undertaking to manage the Temple-affairs satisfactorily, to maintain regular accounts of receipts and expenditure and to - submit them to the scrutiny of Government Officers -- authorised on that behalf, and finally to abide by the departmental Rules and procedure. In conclusion, I beg that in view of the long delay that has already taken place, you may be kindly pleased to pass final orders and enable me to draw the arrears of Grant and utilise it in the best interests of the Temple./

7. Chatram premises needs vast improvements. It is estimated to cost an appreciable sum. It is my fervent desire to effect these improvements within my humble -- means and then approach the Government to associate themselves with its management. It is with no other object than this that I refrained from troubling Government at this stage.

I remain, Sir,
Your obedient servant,
K L Subramanya.
Manager.

Bangalore,
31st May, 1937.
Benne Govindappa's Choultry.
(Basavanagudi)

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 29th July 1937.

No. 214
Mug 138-35-14

ENDORSEMENT.

Mr.B.G.Subba Rao,
Benne Govindappa's Chattram,
Basavangudi Extension,
Bangalore City.

With reference to his petitions of 26th April 1937 and 1st May 1937 requesting for the continuance of the nehimi allowance sanctioned to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple in Benne Govindappa's Chattram, Mr.B.G.Subba Rao is informed that Government in their No.106 dated 28th July 1937 have issued orders to the Muzrai Commissioner to permit him to employ some other competent archak with the approval of the Local Muzrai Officers and that the nehimi allowance may be continued to the institution on condition that the temple will be subject to the inspection and control of Muzrai Officers.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Government.

ACH.2.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 135-37

Serial No. 14

Diary No. of letter replied to 10.4.37 (2)

No. 114

To

Mr B. G Subba Rao—

Benne Govindappa's

Chattram. Basavanapura

Post Bangalore City

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 29-7-37

Signed by S. K. Venkataramana

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of 26.4.37 & 31.5.37 requesting in the matter of the continuance of the relief allowance granted to Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple in Benne Govindappa's chattram Basavanapura and asking us to inform you the case in this office No. 106 of 28/7/37 was disposed of by the M.C. Rules to Secretary/Asst Sec

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

TYPING 29 JUL 1937 GEN'L a.

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

Some other competent authority
writes the approval of local
Mun. officers and the retain
allowance continued to the
existing incumbents on condition that
the remarks will be subject
to the discretion and control
of the Mun. officers.

Yours faithfully
Sd/-
For Chief Secy

Chief Secy
2-11-37